UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,894	08/11/2006	Martin Stephen Clough	050-3-1	3379
30279 DANA REWOI	7590 06/24/200 LDT	EXAMINER		
GARST SEED		FOLEY, SHANON A		
2369 330TH ST PO BOX 500	KEEI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SLATER, IA 50	0244	1619		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Communication		Δ	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
			10/551,894		CLOUGH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit				
		S	SHANON A. F	OLEY	1619				
The MAILING Period for Reply	G DATE of this commun	ication appea	ars on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress			
WHICHEVER IS LC - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fr - If NO period for reply is s - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	TATUTORY PERIOD F DNGER, FROM THE M be available under the provisions om the mailing date of this commander pecified above, the maximum states as set or extended period for reply to office later than three months as the structure. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. atutory period will a will, by statute, can	E OF THIS a). In no event, h apply and will exp ause the application	COMMUNICATION owever, may a reply be ting ire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)⊠ Responsive to	o communication(s) file	ed on <i>04 Octo</i>	ober 2005						
· <u> </u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 October 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
′ _		/ —			secution as to the	e merits is			
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims	·	·							
·	is/are pending in the a	annlication							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			from consid	eration					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)☐ Claim(s)									
	is/are objected to.		_4:						
8) <u> X </u> Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>	are subject to restricti	on and/or ele	ection require	ement.					
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specificat	ion is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s	s) filed on is/are	: a) <mark></mark> accept	ted or b)□ o	objected to by the I	Examiner.				
Applicant may	not request that any obje	ction to the dra	awing(s) be he	eld in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement of	Irawing sheet(s) including	g the correction	n is required if	the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)□ The oath or de	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
	's Patent Drawing Review (F Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	PTO-948)	4) 5) 6)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal F Other:	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-20, 24 and 26, drawn to a locus comprising at least two regions at which plant pests feed and a first method of use for controlling insects.

Group II, claim(s) 22, 25 and 26, drawn to a second method of using the locus, drawn to a method of reducing the incidence of resistance.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The first group defines the special technical feature defining the invention as well as the first method of using the special technical feature. Any subsequent group, i.e. Group II, that uses the product of Group I, lacks unity of invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Art Unit: 1619

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,894 Page 4

Art Unit: 1619

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHANON A. FOLEY whose telephone number is (571)272-0898. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 AM-3 PM, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P. Woodward can be reached on (571) 272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Shanon A. Foley/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1619